



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,750	04/10/2001	Majid Chelehmal	Cabl.02US01	3268

27479 7590 05/20/2005

COCHRAN FREUND & YOUNG LLC  
2026 CARIBOU DR  
SUITE 200  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80525

EXAMINER
----------

FISH, JAMIESON W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2616

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/832,750

Applicant(s)

CHELEHMAL ET AL.

Examiner

Jamieson W. Fish

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6-9 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,6-9 and 12-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)                        |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS are accepted by the examiner.

AMENDMENT TO THE TITLE is accepted by the examiner.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS: the amendments to claims 9 and 16 are accepted by the examiner.

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1, 4-9, 12-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norsworthy et al. (US 6,144,402).

4. Regarding claim 12, Norsworthy teaches a system for delivering video data on-demand from a content provider to a cable system user coupled to a video cable system comprising: a content server that is not located at a head end of said video cable systems, and is not operated by a video cable system provider, that provides a listing of video data available from said content provider and that provides said video data that is delivered to said head end upon receiving a request (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44 A user sends an instruction to search (a request for a listing of content) to the ISP. The content includes video content provided by various internet and other

sources (video servers operated by a content provider). The instructions are sent through telecommunications network connection 102 without going through head end 108. Upon requesting a video the video is delivered to the head end via high bandwidth link 109); a managed network coupled to said content server that is capable of transmitting said video data from said content server to said head end using a first transport mechanism upon receiving a request from said cable system user that is transmitted to said managed network without going through said head end, said video data being transmitted by a plurality of first transport data streams that provide a guaranteed quality of service that is sufficient to view said video data without storing said video data at said head end (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 38-67, Col. 5 lines 1-59, Col. 7 lines 50-67, Col. 8 lines 1-18 ); a translator located at said head end that translates said first transport data streams to a plurality of second transport data streams on a second transport mechanism that is compatible with said cable system (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44, Col. 7 lines 18-67, Col. 8 lines 1-18 The head end receives data from the ISP through a high bandwidth link and transmits it to the tuner of the PC through a television channel. Although, it is not explicitly stated that the head end comprises a translator, a translator is inherent since the head receives data in one transport form and sends it out in another form).

5. Regarding claim **13**, Norsworthy teaches wherein said first transport mechanism is an IP transport mechanism and said second transport mechanism is an MPEG transport mechanism (See Norsworthy Col. 1 lines 33-43, Col. 5 lines 4-22, 53-59).

6. Regarding claim **14**, Norsworthy teaches the system further comprising: a multiplexer that multiplexes said second transport data streams onto said second transport mechanism (See Norsworthy Col. 2 lines 51-67 Col. 3 lines 1-18).

7. Regarding claim **15**, Norsworthy teaches the system further comprising: a digital modulator that digitally modulates said second transport data streams, that have been multiplexed onto said second transport mechanism, onto an rf carrier signal (See Norsworthy Col. 5 lines 4-59 A digital modulator is inherent).

8. Regarding claim **16**, Norsworthy teaches the system further comprising: an upconverter that upconverts said rf carrier signal that has been digitally modulated to a predetermined frequency channel or said cable system (See Norsworthy Col. 5 lines 4-59 The data is received from specific channel, thus an up converter is inherent).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. Claims **1,4,6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norsworthy et al. in view of Ahmed et al (US 6,519,773).

10. Regarding claim **1**, Norsworthy teaches a method of using a managed network and a video cable system operated by a cable system provider to deliver video data on-demand from video servers operated by a content provider to a cable system user comprising: generating a request for a listing of video programs available from said video servers operated by said content provider, that are not part of said cable system operated by said cable system provider, said request being transmitted from a set top box operated by said cable system user, through a telecommunications network to an internet service provider, that is connected through a managed network to said content

Art Unit: 2616

provider, without going through a head end of said video cable system (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44 A user sends an instruction to search (a request for a listing of content) to the ISP. The content includes video content provided by various internet and other sources (video servers operated by a content provider). The instruction are sent through telecommunications network connection 102 without going through head end 108); providing: said listing of said video programs that is available from said content provider to said cable system user, said listing being transmitted from said content provider through said managed network, said internet service provider and telecommunications network to said cable system user without going through said head end (See Fig. 1 Col. 1 lines 25-33 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44 Providing search results (listing of video programs available) would be included in the search process involving a search engine); generating a request for said video data from said listing of video programs using said set top box, said request being transmitted from said set top box through telecommunications link to said internet service provider and said managed network without going through said head end (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44 Request is transmitted through telecommunications network connection 102 without going through head end 108); using a first transport mechanism that is compatible with said managed network to transmit said video data from said video servers through said managed network with a guaranteed quality of service that is sufficient to view said video data without storing said video data at said head end, said video data being transmitted to a cable system provider in response to a said request by said cable system user of said video data (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-

Art Unit: 2616

44, Col. 7 lines 50-67, Col. 8 lines 1-18 The ISP sends content to head end through high bandwidth connection 109); converting said first transport mechanism to a second transport mechanism that is compatible with said video cable system at said head end (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44, Col. 7 lines 18-67, Col. 8 lines 1-18 The head end receives data from the ISP through a high bandwidth link and transmits it to the tuner of the PC through a television channel); transmitting said video data from said head end to said user through said video cable system using said second transport mechanism that is compatible with said set top box (See Fig. 1 Col. 4 lines 39-67, Col. 5 lines 1-44, Col. 7 lines 18-67, Col. 8 lines 1-18 The data is transmitted from the head end to the tuner of the PC). Norsworthy's system differs from the claimed invention in that the modem of Norsworthy's system communicates with the ISP through a telecommunication service that is not necessarily a cable system (See Col. 4 lines 44-49). In a similar endeavor, Ahmed teaches a configuration where a modem communicates with an external data network through a cable system without the information going through the head end (See Fig. 1B Col. 4 lines 40-67, Col. 5 lines 1-67 Col. 6 lines 1-53 Information from an external source such as the internet can be added POPS 122). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Norsworthy's system so that the telecommunication network was a cable systems as taught by Ahmed to allow interactive information requests to be satisfied at a faster rate (See Norsworthy Col. 4 lines 4-7).

11. Regarding claim 4, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed teaches the method further comprising generating a confirmation signal and decoding information that is transmitted from said content provider to said cable system user through said managed network and said internet service provider to said cable system (See Norsworthy Col. 3 lines 46-62, Col. 5 lines 10-17).

12. Regarding claim 6, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed teaches wherein converting said first transport mechanism to a second transport mechanism comprises: converting an IP transport mechanism to an MPEG transport mechanism (See Norsworthy Col. 1 lines 33-43, Col. 5 lines 4-22, 53-59).

13. Claim 5, 7-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norsworthy in view of Ahmed and in further view of Mimura (US 6,785,733).

14. Regarding claim 5, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed fails to disclose wherein said act of using a first transport mechanism to transmit said video data through said managed network to a cable system provider further comprises: using real time protocol as a transport mechanism in an IP managed network to transmit said video data through said IP managed network. However, using real time protocol as a transport mechanism in an IP managed network is well known in the art as taught by Mimura (See Col. 2 lines 33-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Norsworthy so that Norsworthy used real time protocol as a transport mechanism in the IP managed network to transmit video data as taught by Mimura to prevent the degradation of resolution from being caused by transmission delay (See Mimura Col. 2 lines 33-51).



15. Regarding claim 7, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed further modified with Mimura teaches wherein converting said first transport mechanism to a second transport mechanism comprises: converting an IP transport mechanism to an MPEG transport mechanism (See Mimura Col. 11 lines 19-44).

16. Regarding claim 8, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed further modified with Mimura teaches wherein converting said IP transport mechanism to an MPEG transport mechanism further comprises: separating timing data contained in said real time protocol from content data (See Mimura Col. 11 lines 19-44); converting said timing data to adaptation information (See Mimura Col. 11 lines 19-44); placing said adaptation information in adaptation fields of said MPEG transport mechanism (See Mimura Col. 11 lines 19-44); combining said adaptation fields with corresponding content data (See Mimura Fig. 11 Col. 11 lines 19-44).

17. Regarding claim 9, Norsworthy modified with Ahmed further modified with Mimura teaches: multiplexing said adaptation fields and said content data onto said MPEG transport to generate an MPEG transport data stream (See Mimura Fig. 11 Col. 11 lines 19-44); digitally modulating said MPEG transport data stream to create a digitally modulated MPEG transport data stream (See Mimura Col. 13 lines 35-63); up-converting said digitally modulated MPEG transport data stream to a selected frequency channel for transmission on said cable system (See Mimura Col. 13 lines 35-63).

### ***Conclusion***

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2616

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

19. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamieson W. Fish whose telephone number is 571-272-7307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2616

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JF 4-29-2005



NGOC-YEN VU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER